

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/693,267	SHOEN, MARK V.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	James M. Hewitt	3679	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) James M. Hewitt.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Richard Oney.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 October 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

16, 27 and 37

Prior art documents discussed:


Kontra (US 2,565,659) and Winchester (US 3,380,267)

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 16, 27 and 37 as reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment in order to distinguish the claims over the prior art.

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)